April 30, 2011

Page 1 of 19 Updated Very important update ... May 19, 2011

by Mr. and Mrs. Gerald and Deanna-(Deonaa) Hernesmaa

I will show, based on the evidence, which includes but is not limited to, what is presented in the 2 articles quoted here at the end of this essay, on pages 11 - 17, (copy & paste), with links provided, that the stated position and actions taken by key individuals in the Nuclear Safety Commission (NSC) and the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan, regarding forcing the people of Japan -- Fetus, children & adults -- without the individuals informed and voluntary consent – to being exposed to dangerous radiation levels of 20 millisieverts per year, 24 hours a day -- is an Inhumane Act and A Crime Against Humanity, as defined by, but not limited to, the following list – which is referred to here on page 2 as The List, which lists International Laws & Universally Accepted Principles of acceptable standards of medical treatment & universally accepted standards of basic human rights. Important update page 18, Press Conference May 13 -- Meltdown within hours after main quake ... (+) There is no safe exposure to radiation, at any level

(<u>20 mSv/y of radiation is comparable to the [legally] recognized dose for inducing **leukemia** in nuclear power plant workers.)</u>

 Article 1, here pages 11 - 14 ... Urgent Petition Against 20 millisieverts per year (mSv/y) Radiation Exposure onto Children in Fukushima, Japan ... Apr 24, 2011 ... <u>http://blog.canpan.info/foejapan/daily/201104/24</u>
 Article 2, pages 14 - 17 ... Save the Fukushima Children: Japanese Citizens Meet with Government ... Apr. 23, 2011

... <u>http://fukushima.greenaction-japan.org/2011/04/23/save-the-fukushima-children-japanese-citizens-meet-with-government/</u> Legally - Expect No Mercy!! ... No question about it. The March 11, 2011 Japanese nuclear radiation disaster has put

us humans in a <u>real Nuclear War</u> with life on earth, for the 1st time, nature is on the loosing side. Dangerous radiation from this war is extending across the entire world. It will be affecting all forms of life on the planet, from the lowest to the highest, for hundreds of thousands if not billions of years. <u>No place to run, no place to hide</u>. This war will create incalculable numbers of <u>Crimes Against Humanity & Nature</u>, producing an infinite number of <u>shocking</u>, <u>horrible</u>, <u>hideous & monstrous birth defects</u> as well as, an infinite number of <u>broken ♥ Hearts</u>. Those responsible are the very worst of the worst <u>War Criminals</u>. Behind the scenes these <u>War Criminals</u>, <u>mass murderers</u> are deliberately withholding necessary warnings & information needed to help protect the people of Japan and the rest of the world from unimaginable <u>pain</u>, <u>suffering and DEATH</u>, while thinking that, by keeping us in ignorance, they can <u>hide their guilt</u>. They have created a massive, intentional, systematic deception campaign against the world by hiding the truth of the dangers. <u>Therefore</u>, all individuals who are responsible for this deception & decision making process, up to the very highest levels, (including those forcing the people of Japan to be exposed to scientifically KNOWN unsafe radiation levels, then calling it safe), should all be <u>quickly</u> brought up before a full public <u>Nuremberg style trial</u>, for <u>Crimes Against Humanity & Nature</u>, so as, to find the full & complete truth behind this 2011 disaster, and minimize damage. After convictions, individuals found responsible should be locked up, & the key thrown away, just like after WW-2.

Please see Black's Law Dictionary, 1990 ... http://www.trufax.org/reports/legal.html ... Legal Definition on pages. 8 – 10.

Nothing is legal in the entire world, without first obtaining voluntary Informed Consent, THE CONTRACT. Nuremberg Code is the re-affirmation of the <u>Magna Carta code of rights</u> ("Great Charter of Freedoms") ... (which were primarily made for business ethics, but which also do form the basis of our human rights). ALL LAW IS COMMERCIAL LAW... therefore there IS no law without informed consent. (Dee Nicholson).

Informed Consent ... http://medical-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/informed+consent ... Medical Dictionary,

<u>Consent</u> given with <u>full knowledge</u> of the <u>risks</u> involved, probable consequences, and the <u>alternatives</u>. (In order for informed consent to be considered valid, the client must be competent and the consent should be given voluntarily.).

- Magna Carta ... http://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/Magna_Carta ... New World Encyclopedia.
 - <u>Magna Carta Libertatum</u> ("Great Charter of Freedoms") ... Rights established by the Magna Carta have subsequently become fundamental principles of international human rights and it can be argued that democratic societies developed as a long-term consequence of this charter.
- **The Nuremberg Code 1947** ... <u>http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/nuremberg.html</u> ... takes precedence over any other laws made by any individual, country, government, government agency or corporation on this topic, or any policy requirement by any individual, government, govern. agency or corporation. <u>The Nuremberg Code</u> is recognized as everyone's inalienable right. That is why it was passed into law.

The right thing to do in order to hold all the responsible people, Nuclear War Criminals, these mass murderers, accountable regarding the 2011 Japanese Nuclear radiation disaster, is to start planning for the early stages of Legal proceeding for <u>The Nuremberg style Trial</u> now, without delay. See <u>page 3</u>, <u>The 7 Principles of Nuremberg Tribunal</u>. Remember ... A radiation dose of 20 mSv/y is legally recognized as causing <u>leukemia</u> in nuclear power plant workers.

The List ... Why Japan's 2011 Nuclear Radiation Disaster Is Crime Against Humanity & Against All Of Nature

- * 1.) Law of Informed Consent ... <u>http://medical-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/informed+consent</u>
- ★ 2.) The Nuremberg Code (10 Principles), 1947 ... <u>http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/nuremberg.html</u>. (non-negotiable)
 - 3.) The Hippocratic Oath 1st do no harm ... <u>http://www.members.tripod.com/nktiuro/hippocra.htm</u>
 - 4.) The Precautionary Principle -- The obligation to prevent harm, (The 4 Principles), here, top of <u>page 6</u>. ...<u>http://www.sdearthtimes.com/et0398/et0398s4.html</u>
- ★ 5.) Magna Carta (Code of Rights) ... <u>http://www.humanistictexts.org/magna_carta.htm</u> ... (are non-negotiable) Magna Carta Libertatum - Great Charter of Freedoms ... <u>http://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/Magna_Carta</u> a.) Human <u>Rights</u> Timeline -- From Antiquity to the Magna Carta ... from <u>3800 - 2850 BC</u> to <u>1215 AD</u>. ... <u>http://www.gwu.edu/~erpapers/humanrights/timeline/timeline1.cfm</u>
 - 6.) The 7 Principles of the Nuremberg Tribunal, 1950 ... http://www.icrc.org/ihl.nsf/FULL/390?OpenDocument
 - 7.) Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948 -- Article 3 ... http://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr/#atop
 - 8.) The Convention on the Rights of the Child, Nov. 20, 1989 -- Articles 13, 17, 19 and 27,

... <u>http://www2.ohchr.org/english/law/crc.htm</u> ... and ... <u>http://www.unicef.org/crc/</u> t and Coercion – see definitions here bottom of page 6

- ★ 9.) Consent and Coercion see definitions, here, bottom of page 6.
 - 10.) 20.0 mSv/y is comparable to the [legally] recognized dose for inducing <u>leukemia</u> in nuclear power plant workers
 3.8 microSv/h is roughly 6 times [the 0.6 microSv/h] of "Radiation Controlled Areas" (0.6 microSv/h or more)
 - 11.) Dr. Helen Caldicott -- Nuclear Facts and Japan -- Nuclear Fallout & side-effects explained ... telling it like it is
 ★ ★ Talk video ... Apr. 18, 2011 ... <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TMXvpWoHzeE&feature=player_embedded</u> Transcript ... <u>http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=24730</u> ... (May 12)

Debate - video ... Mar. 30, 2011 ... <u>http://www.democracynow.org/2011/3/30/prescription_for_survival_a_debate_on</u>

- 12.) Japan Officially Orders <u>Censorship</u> of Truth About Fukushima Nuclear Radiation Disaster ... Apr. 19, 2011
 <u>http://www.fourwinds10.com/siterun_data/environment/humans/nuclear_du_radiation/news.php?q=1303327147</u>
- ★ 13.) It is Time To Tell the Truth about Japan's Radiation Disaster, says Japanese MP Kuniko Tanioko, May 6, 2011 video 11 min ... <u>http://therealnews.com/t2/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=31&Itemid=74&jumival=6729</u>
 - 14.) There is no safe exposure to radiation at any level <u>and</u> why ... (long term genetic damage) ... Apr. 23, 2011 here <u>pages 18, 19</u> ... <u>http://www.infiniteunknown.net/2011/04/11/dr-brian-moench-there-is-no-safe-exposure-to-radiation/</u>
- ★ 15.) Black's Law Dictionary, 1990 ... <u>http://www.trufax.org/reports/legal.html</u> ... Legal Definition, <u>on pages. 8 10</u>
 - 16.) The <u>Heart</u> has no reasons, it knows without reasoning ... <u>page 7</u> and ... <u>http://www.mayanmajix.com/heart.html</u>
 - 17.) see bottom of page 5 ... Secret map, massive Japan radiation cloud over US, Canada ... May 12, 2011

★ 18.) Japan Radiation Worldwide Effects (Part 6 / 8) - Whistleblower Geo-scientist Leuren Moret ... video 15 min (why damage becomes genetic) - Apr 19, 2011 ... <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TUUY_dN-qeE&NR=1</u>

★ 19.) Important update p.18 ... Deliberate cover-up confirmed, May 13 ... meltdown only hours after main quake. 3

Geo-sciences ... the sciences (as geology, geophysics, and geochemistry) dealing with the earth.

The Nuremberg Code, 1947 ... http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/nuremberg.html

... "The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of ... force ... fraud ... deceit ... duress ... over-reaching ... or ... other ulterior forms of ... constraint or coercion; -- and -- should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision ... This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonable to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment."

Paragraphs

4.) The experiment should be so conducted as to **avoid all unnecessary** physical and mental **suffering** and **injury**.

- ★ 9.) During the course of the experiment the human subject should be at liberty to bring experiment to an end if he has reached the physical or mental state where continuation of the experiment seems to him to be impossible.
- ★ 10.) During the course of experiment the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of good faith, superior skill & careful judgment required of him that a continuation of experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or DEATH to the experimental subject.

<u>7 Principles of the Nuremberg Tribunal, 1950</u> ... <u>http://www.icrc.org/ihl.nsf/FULL/390?OpenDocument</u> 7 Principles of International Law -- Recognized in the Charter of the Nuremberg Tribunal & in the Judgment of the Tribunal.

<u>Principle 2</u> The fact that internal law does not impose a penalty for an act which constitutes a crime under international law <u>does not</u> relieve the person who committed the act from responsibility under international law.

<u>Principle 3</u> Fact that a person who committed an act which constitutes a crime under international law acted as Head of State or responsible Government official <u>does not</u> relieve him from responsibility under international law

★ <u>Principle 4</u> The fact that a person acted pursuant to order of his Government or of a superior <u>does not</u> relieve him from responsibility under international law, provided a moral choice was in fact possible to him.

Principle 6 The crimes hereinafter set out are punishable as crimes under international law:

c.) <u>Crimes</u> against humanity:

Murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation and <u>other inhuman acts</u> done against any civilian population, or persecutions on political, racial or religious grounds, when such acts are done or such persecutions are carried on in execution of or in connection with any crime against peace or any war crime.

- 4 -

Japan's 2011 - Nuclear Radiation Disaster Why It Is A <u>Crime</u> Against Humanity And Against All Of Nature April 30, 2011

Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948 ... <u>http://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr/#atop</u> Article 3

Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person.

Security of Person ... http://www.beyondintractability.org/essay/security/

On the individual level, **security** is most often understood as safety. This safety includes freedom from harm, whether physical or psychological. Threats to an individual's **security** can produce the fear or anxiety mentioned above. The <u>United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights</u> states that all people are entitled to "**security of person**". This declaration reinforces the concept of freedom from physical and psychological harm. Yet, what measures will be taken to protect an individual from harm? The most common forms of protection are legal structures that protect individuals from threats to their **security**. These include, but are not limited to, laws against murder, sex crimes, bodily harm, theft, psychological harm such as coercion. (**Coercion definition below**)

·····

The Convention on the Rights of the Child, (Nov. 20, 1989) ... <u>http://www2.ohchr.org/english/law/crc.htm</u> ... <u>http://www.unicef.org/crc/</u>

Built on varied legal systems and cultural traditions, the Convention is a universally agreed set of non-negotiable standards and obligations. These basic standards—also called human rights—set minimum entitlements and freedoms that should be respected by governments.

These standards are both interdependent and indivisible.

Spells out the <u>3 basic human rights</u> that children everywhere have: **1**.) the right to survival; **2**.) to develop to the fullest; **3**.) to protection from harmful influences, abuse and exploitation; **4**.) and to participate fully in family, cultural and social life.

<u>The 4 core principles</u> of the Convention are A.) non-discrimination; B.) devotion to the best interests of the child; C.) the right to life, survival and development; <u>and</u> D.) respect for the views of the child.

Article 19

- ★ 1. States Parties shall take all appropriate legislative, administrative, social and educational measures to protect the child from all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment (harm, injury, hurt, violation), or exploitation, including sexual abuse, hile in the care of parent(s), legal guardian(s) or any other person who has the care of the child.
 - 2. Such protective measures should, as appropriate, include effective procedures for the establishment of social programmes to provide necessary support for the child and for those who have the care of the child, as well as for other forms of prevention and for identification, reporting, referral, investigation, treatment and follow-up of instances of child maltreatment described <u>heretofore</u>, and, as appropriate, for judicial involvement.

Continued ...

Continues

Convention on the Rights of the Child, (Nov. 20, 1989) ... <u>http://www2.ohchr.org/english/law/crc.htm</u> ... <u>http://www.unicef.org/crc/</u>

Article 13

1. The child shall have the right to freedom of expression; this right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of the child's choice.

Article 17

States Parties recognize the important function performed by the mass media and shall ensure that the child has access to information and material from a diversity of national and international sources, especially those aimed at the promotion of his or her social, spiritual and moral well-being and <u>physical</u> and <u>mental health</u>. To this end, States Parties shall:

(a) Encourage the mass media to disseminate information and material of social and cultural benefit to the child and in accordance with the spirit of <u>Article 29</u>;

(b) Encourage international co-operation in the production, exchange and dissemination of such information and material from a diversity of cultural, national and international sources;

(e) Encourage the development of appropriate guidelines for the protection of the child from information and material injurious to his or her well-being, bearing in mind the provisions of <u>Articles 13</u> and <u>18</u>.

Article 27

1. States Parties recognize the right of every child <u>to a standard of living</u> adequate for the child's physical, mental, spiritual, moral and social development.

$\star \star \star$

Legally, Expect No Mercy !!, Most DEADLY fuel, MOX Fuel, "mixed-oxide," plutonium enriched uranium, is 2 million times more deadly than enriched uranium, & used in Japan's Nuclear reactor #1 and #3, the one that was destroyed the most ... http://www.naturalnews.com/031736_plutonium_enriched_uranium.html . Update page 18. There should be an immediate public outcry & opposition to the irresponsible act of raising the existing scientifically KNOWN and proven unsafe radiation limits to higher known unsafe levels, and then trying to pass off these new dangerous levels as safe by the Nuclear Safety Commission (NSC). Every public health official, medical specialist, government / government agency, politician, corporation, scientist & the entire world wide Nuclear Safety Commission (NSC), NRC, who accepts, without opposition and then applies the new higher dangerous, unsafe standards, should also be identified as accomplices to - the very worst of the worst - criminals, mass murderers and terrorists the world has ever seen, and all held immediately accountable for the extremely long term health effects of their actions by bringing them up before a Nuremberg style trial, without delay, even more so, than during & after Nuremberg trials after WW-2.

Secret Map Shows <u>Massive</u> - Japan Radiation Cloud Heading Toward US and Canada ... May 12, 2011,
 <u>http://beforeitsnews.com/story/629/784/Secret_Map_Shows_Massive_Radiation_Cloud_Heading_Toward_U.S_And_Canada.html</u>
 includes 3 weather forecast videos ...

★ Live eye witness home video - Explosion of Japan's Fukushima Nuclear Power Plant ... <u>posted on</u> Apr. 14, 2011 42 seconds ... <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9MTvdLoumQc&feature=related</u>.

The Precautionary Principle ... http://www.sdearthtimes.com/et0398/et0398s4.html

... the experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.

Thus, as formulated here, The Principle of Precautionary action has 4 parts:

- 1. People have a duty to take <u>anticipatory action to Prevent harm</u>. (As one participant at the Wingspread meeting summarized the essence of the precautionary principle, "If you have a reasonable suspicion that something bad might be going to happen, you have an obligation to try to stop it.")
- **2.** The burden of proof of harmlessness of a new technology, process, activity, or chemical, lies with the proponents, not with the general public.
- **3.** Before using a new technology, process, or chemical, or starting a new activity, people have an obligation to examine "a full range of alternatives" **including** the alternative of doing nothing.
- 4. Decisions applying the precautionary principle must be "open, informed, and democratic" and "must include affected parties."

The Precautionary Principle is not really new. The essence of the principle is captured in common-sense aphorisms such as "<u>An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure</u>," "<u>Better safe than sorry</u>," <u>and</u> "<u>Look before you leap</u>." However, environmental policy in the United States and Europe for the past 70 years has been guided by entirely different principles perhaps best reflected in the aphorisms, "<u>Nothing ventured</u>, nothing gained"

The Precautionary Principle and Corporate disclosure ... http://www.cpeo.org/lists/brownfields/2000/msg00079.html

$\star \star \star$

Consent ... http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/consent.html

Express or implied <u>approval</u>, or <u>voluntary agreement</u>, <u>compliance</u>, or permission for some <u>act</u>, <u>decision</u>, or purpose. Consent obtained through <u>coercion</u>, <u>fraud</u>, or <u>undue influence</u> is <u>invalid</u>.

Coercion ... <u>http://www.answers.com/topic/coercion</u> ... <u>Columbia Encyclopedia:</u> ... Electronic Edition, © 2004 coercion, in law, the unlawful act of compelling a person to do, or to abstain from doing, something by depriving him of the exercise of his free will, particularly by use or threat of physical or moral force. In many states of the United States, statutes declare a person guilty of a misdemeanor if he, by violence or injury to another's person, family, or property, or by depriving him of his clothing or any tool or implement, or by intimidating him with threat of force, compels that other to perform some act that the other is not legally bound to perform. Coercion may involve other crimes, such as assault. In the law of contracts, the use of unfair persuasion to procure an agreement is known as <u>duress</u>; such a contract is void unless later ratified. At common law, one who commits a crime under coercion may be excused if he can show that the danger of death or great bodily harm was present and imminent. However, coercion is not a defense for the murder or attempted murder of an innocent third party.

"All <u>Truth</u> Passes Through 3 phases: <u>first</u> it is ridiculed; <u>next</u> it is violently opposed; and <u>finally</u> it is accepted as self evident" ... by the German philosopher **Arthur Schopenhauer**.

- **Einstein said, "...** the world is a dangerous place to live in, **not** because of the people who are evil, but **because** of the people who don't do anything about it ...".
- ★ The God Within documentary exposes the <u>FALSE</u> philosophical foundations of modern science ... May 11, 2011 ...<u>http://www.naturalnews.com/032359 The God Within documentary.html</u>.

7

The **P**<u>Heart</u> Has No Reasons, It Knows Without Reasoning.

. http://www.mayanmajix.com/heart.html .

Written In Memory of Princess Diana and Mother Teresa. Sept. 1997

When all is said and done, when you come to the end of your life, I don't want to know what the world said or what your worldly mind has learned to define as successful, or what rules or customs you were brought up with and felt you had to follow in order to justify what you did or didn't do to others or for others. What I really want to know is, Do you know how to really not know ?? Can you realize your own ignorance while you profess and assert your worldly knowledge to others ?? I want to know if you have learned what it means to think with and through your <u>Heart</u>, to know the difference between looking with your eyes and seeing with and through your <u>Heart</u>, and to not only be able to hear with your ears but to also be able to listen with and through your <u>Heart</u>. Have you learned and experienced the difference between feeling with your hands and feeling with and through your <u>Heart</u> ?? Are you able to touch others <u>Hearts</u> with your <u>Heart</u> ??

I don't want to know what facts you have learned throughout your life on earth, but I do want to know if you know what it means by the saying, "The **Heart** has no reasons, it knows without reasoning". Have you learned how to know with and through your **Y** Heart ... FIRST, and then act accordingly ??. I want to know if you can do the "Right Thing", even if it means **challenging** the authority figures and rules of the day, **and then** do it again, and again ??. What I really want to know is, are you strong enough, and do you have the courage to follow Socrates, (469 - 399 BC), **example of** "... Examining your thoughts, statements and actions by pursuing their implications, on the assumption that if they are true, they would not lead to false consequences ..."?? I want to know that after you have struggled to climb, to ascend, to the top of the mountain, will you willingly and **Joyfully** and **Covingly** come back down to be with and to help those who have not climbed the mountain ?? If you can, then you have been able to touch the \checkmark Heart and \checkmark Love of God with your **Heart**, with your thoughts, and with what you have said and done with your life on earth ... this time ... and then each time hereafter.

Anonymous (Gerald Hernesmaa, 1997)

P.S. The \checkmark Heart has no reasons, it knows without reasoning, because the \checkmark Heart understands and knows in ways that reason does not understand & can not verify, so, the \checkmark Heart has it reasons that reason does not know.

- **Einstein Said, "...** he world is a dangerous place to live in, <u>not</u> because of the people who are evil, but <u>because</u> of the people who don't do anything about it ...".
- "<u>Ethics</u>" ... n. pl., 1.) The Principles of <u>morality</u>, including both the science of the <u>good</u> and the science of <u>right</u>. The American College Dictionary, © 1964, page 412

- 101 - 103 -

Dangers of Dietary Isoflavones

at levels above those found in traditional diets The Risks Of Abandoning "The Precautionary Principle" by Soy Online Service ... <u>http://www.soyonlineservice.co.nz/</u>

* "Soy - Abundance Of Health Hazards" ... http://www.mayanmajix.com/soy01.htm

Pages 86 - 88

Explaining Why There is The Medical Necessity To Warn People About Dangers of Soy

★ Exposing the Endless Lies, Deception, & Cover-up by the Pro-Soy Supporters <u>http://www.mayanmajix.com/soy_2010.pdf</u>

Leading Edge Research: Blacks Legal Definitions See Also, Corporate Research Page

Blacks Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, 1990

Legal Definitions

http://www.trufax.org/reports/legal.html

Belief: A subjective condition that is a conviction of the truth of a proposition, induced into existence within the mind. (Note: that belief does not involve truth, but only the conviction of it).

Conflict of Interest: Term used in connection with public officials, fiduciaries and their relationship to matters of private interest or gain to them; a clash between public interest and the private pecuniary interest of the individual or individuals concerned; a conflict of interest arise when a person's personal or financial interest conflicts or appears to conflict with his official responsibility. 18 U.S.C.A. §203 et seq. **Examples:** An FDA official takes a job with a company producing a product over whom he has had regulatory influence; a company official takes a job at the FDA where he or she is in a position to rule over products created by his former employer or extensions of products or processes thereof.

Conspiracy in Restraint of Trade: All forms of illegal agreements which have as their object interference with free flow of commerce and trade.

Suppressio Veri, Expressio Falsi: Suppression of the truth is equivalent to expression or suggestion of what is false.

Truth: That which is conformable to the actual state of things. (Note: not subjective)

Fact: Reality of events or things the actual occurrence or existence of which is to be determined by evidence. An actual happening in time and space or a mental or physical event.

Fraud: An intentional perversion of the truth for the purpose of inducing another in reliance upon it to part with some valuable thing or to surrender a legal right; a false representation of a matter of fact, whether by words ot conduct, by false or misleading allegations, or by concealment of that which should have been disclosed, which deceives and is intended to deceive another so that he shall act upon it to his legal inquiry; anything calculated to deceive, whether by a single act or combination, or by suppression of truth, or suggestion of what is false, whether it be by direct falsehood or innuendo, by speech or silence, word of mouth, or look or gesture; fraud comprises all acts, omissions, and concealments involving a branch of legal or equitable duty and resulting in damage to another.

Fraudulent Concealment: The hiding or suppression of a material fact or circumstance which the party is legally or morally bound to disclose, in order to prevent inquiry, escape investigation, or to mislead or hinder the acquisition of information disclosing a right of action.

Fraudulent Intent: Such intent exists where one, either with a view of benefiting oneself or misleading another into a course of action, makes a representation which one knows to be false or which one does not believe to be true. http://www.trufax.org/reports/legal.html
9

- 102 -

Dangers of Dietary Isoflavones at levels above those found in traditional diets The Risks Of Abandoning "The Precautionary Principle" by Soy Online Service ... <u>http://www.soyonlineservice.co.nz/</u> ★ "Soy - Abundance Of Health Hazards" ... http://www.mayanmajix.com/soy01.htm

Pages 7 – 9

★ Why The Swine Flu Vaccine and Vaccines Are Illegal Under International Law <u>http://www.mayanmajix.com/Swine_Flu_Vaccine_And_Vaccines_Are_Illegal.pdf</u>

Blacks Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, 1990

Legal Definitions

http://www.trufax.org/reports/legal.html

Continues

Deceit: A fraudulent and deceptive misrepresentation, artifice, or device, used by one or more persons to deceive and trick another, who is ignorant of the true facts.

Misrepresentation: Any manifestation by words or other conduct not in accordance with the facts; an untrue statement of fact; an incorrect or false representation which, if accepted, leads the mind to an apprehension of a condition other & different from that which exists.

<u>Proof</u>: The result or effect of evidence, the means by which a fact is proven.

<u>Positive Proof</u>: That which establishes the fact in question, as opposed to <u>negative proof</u>, which establishes the fact by showing that its opposite is not or cannot be true.

Informed Consent: A person's agreement to allow something to happen, based on full disclosure of the facts needed to make the decision intelligently; i.e., knowledge of risks involved, alternatives, etc.; the general principle of law embodying the duty to disclose to another whatever risks might be incurred from a proposed course of treatment, so that a person, exercising ordinary care for his own welfare, and faced with a choice of undergoing the proposed treatment, or alternative treatment, or none at all, may intelligently exercise his judgment by reasonably balancing the probable or possible risks against the probable or possible benefits.

Convincing Proof: Such as is sufficient to establish the proposition beyond reasonable doubt in an <u>unprejudiced mind</u>. (<u>Note</u>: a mind is <u>not</u> unprejudiced *where a belief already exists*).

(Keep the following in mind relative to those entities who herein control and manipulate others):

Criminal Conspiracy: A combination or confederation between two or more persons, formed for the purpose of committing, by their joint efforts, some unlawful or criminal act, or some act which is lawful in itself, but becomes unlawful when done by the concerted action of the conspirators, or for the purpose of using criminal or unlawful means to the commission of an act not in itself unlawful. A person is guilty of conspiracy if that person agrees to aid other persons in the planning or commission of such crime, or of an attempt or solicitation of such a crime.

- 1. <u>A conspiracy may be a continuing one</u>; actors may drop out, and others drop in; the details of operation may change from time to time; the members need not know each other or the part played by others; a member need not know all the details of the plan or the operation; he must, however, know the purpose of the conspiracy and agree to become a party to a plan to effectuate that purpose.
- 2. <u>A chain-conspiracy</u> is characterized by different activities carried on with the same subject of conspiracy in chain-like manner that each conspirator in chain-like manner performs a separate function which serves in the accomplishment of the overall conspiracy.
- 3. <u>A civil conspiracy</u> is a concert or combination to defraud or cause other injury to person or property, which results in damage to the person or property.
- 4. <u>Conspiracy in restraint of trade</u> describes all forms of illegal agreements such as boycotts, price-fixing, etc., which have as their object interference with the free flow of commerce and trade. One cannot agree or conspire with another who does not agree or conspire with him.

Dangers of Dietary Isoflavones at levels above those found in traditional diets The Risks Of Abandoning "The Precautionary Principle" by Soy Online Service ... <u>http://www.soyonlineservice.co.nz/</u> ★ "Soy - Abundance Of Health Hazards" ... http://www.mayanmajix.com/soy01.htm

Blacks Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, 1990

Legal Definitions

http://www.trufax.org/reports/legal.html

Continues

Color of Law: Misuse of power, possessed by virtue of law, & made possible only because the wrongdoer is clothed with authority of State. Misuse of power by an official because the person is an official. Unlawful acts under color of law would not have occurred but for the fact that the person committing them was an official then, & there exercising power outside the bounds of lawful authority.

Color of Office: Pretense of official right to do an act made by one has no such right conferred by any authority.

<u>Criminal Gross Negligence</u>: Negligence that is accompanied by acts of commission, or omission of a wanton or willful nature, showing a reckless or indifferent disregard of the rights of others, under circumstances reasonably calculated to produce injury, or which make it probable that injury will be occassioned, and the offender knows or is charged with knowledge of the probable results of his acts.

<u>Criminal Behavior</u>: Conduct which causes any social harm which is defined and made punishable by law, presuming the law exists which covers the action.

<u>Quasi Crimes</u>: All offenses not crimes or misdemeanors, but that are in the nature of crimes; a class of offenses against the public which have not been declared crimes, but wrongs against the general or local public which should be punished by penalties.

<u>Criminal Homicide</u>: Criminal homicide constitutes murder when it is committed purposely or knowingly, or committed recklessly under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life.

<u>Malicious Abuse of Legal Process</u>: Perversion of court process to accomplish some end which the process was not designed to accomplish, and does not arise from the regular use of process, even with ulterior motives. Intent is to secure ends other than those intended by law through willful application of court process.

Monopoly: A privilege or peculiar advantage vested in one or more persons or companies, consisting in the exclusive right (or power) to carry on a particular business or trade, manufacture a particular article, or control the sale of the whole supply of a particular commodity. A form of market structure in which one or only a few firms dominate the total sales of a product or service; the two main elements of the Sherman Antitrust Act are: possession of monopoly power and willful acquisition or maintenance of that power, as distinguished from growth or development as a consequence of a superior product, business acumen, or historic accident.

Monopoly Power: That which must exist to establish a violation of the Sherman Anti-Trust Act. The power to fix prices, to exclude competitors, or to control the market in the geographical area in question.

Monopolization: It is monopolization for persons to combine or conspire to acquire or maintain power to exclude competitors from any part of trade or commerce, provided they also have such power that they are able, as group, to exclude actual or potential competition, and provided they have intent and purpose to exercise that power.

<u>Negligence</u>: Omission which a reasonable person, guided by ordinary considerations which ordinarily regulate human affairs, would do, or the doing of something which a reasonable and prudent person would not do; conduct which falls below the standard established by law for the protection of others from unreasonable risk of harm.

<u>Preponderance of evidence</u>: Evidence which is of greater weight or more convincing that the evidence which is offered in opposition to it; it may not be determined by the number of witnesses, but by the greater weight of all evidence, the opportunity for knowledge, information possessed.

Willful Misconduct: Conduct committed with an intentional or reckless disregard for the safety of others, or with an intentional disregard of a duty necessary to the safety of another's property. 11

Urgent Petition Against <u>20 millisieverts</u> per year (mSv/y) Radiation Exposure onto Children in Fukushima, Japan

...........

Website address below ... http://blog.canpan.info/foejapan/daily/201104/24



http://blog.canpan.info/foejapan/daily/201104/24

Urgent Petition Against 20 millisieverts per year (mSv/y) Radiation Exposure onto Children in Fukushima

Urgent Petition Against <u>20</u> <u>millisieverts</u> per year (mSv/y) Radiation Exposure onto Children in Fukushima, Japan

Apr. 24, 2011

Sign the petition

Preliminary deadline: Tue. April 26, 2011 at 23:00 in Japanese time (UTC/GMT +9 hours) Final deadline: Sat. April 30, 2011 at 23:00 in Japanese time (UTC/GMT +9 hours) . **Continued**

Continues

Urgent Petition Against 20 millisieverts per year (mSv/y) Radiation Exposure onto Children in Fukushima, Japan

We <u>urgently</u> demand the withdrawal of the Japanese Government's <u>inhumane</u> decision to force 20 millisieverts per year (mSv/y) radiation exposure onto children

On April 19th, **the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT)** notified the Board of Education and related institutions in Fukushima Prefecture the level of 20 millisieverts per year (mSv/y) as a Radiation Safety Standard for schools in Fukushima Prefecture. This is the standard to be used for school grounds and buildings. The Government has indicated that 20mSv/y is equivalent to 3.8microSv per hour measured outdoors.

3.8 micro Sv/h is roughly 6 times

[the 0.6microSv/h] of "Radiation Controlled Areas" (0.6 microSv/h or more). The Labour Standards Act prohibits those under the age of 18 from working under these conditions. Forcing children to be exposed to such radiation doses is an exceedingly inhumane decision. Therefore, we condemn this in the strongest terms.

20 mSv/y is comparable to the [legally] recognized dose for inducing leukemia in nuclear power plant workers. It is also comparable to the maximum dose allowed for nuclear power plant workers in Germany.

In addition, <u>this 20mSv standard [for Japanese</u> <u>children] does not take into account the fact</u> <u>children</u> have higher sensitivity to radiation than <u>adults, nor does it take into account any</u> <u>internal radiation exposure.</u>

Currently, according to the radiation monitoring conducted at elementary and middle schools within Fukushima Prefecture, more than 75% of these schools have contamination levels comparable to "Radiation Controlled Areas" (0.6 micro Sv/h or more). Further, roughly 20% of the schools fall within "Individual Exposure Controlled Areas" (2.3 microSv/h or more) and are in an extremely dangerous situation.

The level set by the Japanese Government at this time amounts to <u>coercion</u> of this dangerous situation upon children, and, can **interfere with** <u>voluntary</u> **measures** by schools to minimize exposure.

MEXT states that 20mSv/y is based on the recommendation Pub.109 by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) as well as on the reference levels in the band of 1 to 20 mSv/y as "Post Emergency Situation" Standards from the ICRP statement released on March 21st. **The latter means MEXT has adopted the Maximum level.**

Continued

Continues

Urgent Petition Against 20 millisieverts per year (mSv/y) Radiation Exposure onto Children in Fukushima, Japan

As of March 21st, there has been no substantive information disclosure by the Japanese Government on the decision-making process for establishing these standards. **Moreover**, <u>no explanation</u> has been given concerning why the Government has neither taken the sensitivity of children into consideration nor internal radiation exposure into account. The contents of the consultation held between MEXT and the Nuclear Safety Commission (NSC) <u>are not disclosed</u>, and the situation remains extremely opaque.

We demand the Japanese government of the following:

- Retraction of the "20mSv/y" standard for children.

- Disclosure of the names of experts, who deemed "20mSv/y" for children to be safe.

Note:

At the governmental negotiation held on April 21st, it became evident that **the Nuclear Safety Commission (NSC)** concluded the 20mSv/y standard for children as "Permissible" without undertaking any formal consultation. Moreover, on April 22nd, the Commission reported to the office of Mizuho FUKUSHIMA, member of the Japanese Diet, House of Councillors that no minutes (records) exist of the 5 Nuclear Safety Commission members' deliberation leading to the 20mSv/year standard.

References:

Expert comments cited from the articles on the establishment of the 20mSv/y standard ("Fukushima -Katastrophe - Japan legt hohe Strahlengrenzwerte fur Kinder fest" or "Japan's MEXT sets High Exposure Limits for Children"), Der Spiegel, April 21st, 2011. (See comparison to German workers.) http://www.spiegel.de/wissenschaft/mensch/0,1518,758410,00.html.

Edmund Lengfelder (Otto Hug Radiation Institute), "The cancer risk will visibly rise in the future. By setting these (higher) standards, the government will steer clear of any liability legally, however not morally."

This petition is being organized by:

Green Action, Greenpeace Japan, Citizens' Nuclear Information Center, Citizens Against Fukushima Aging Nuclear Power Plants (Fukuro-no-Kai), Osaka Citizens Against the Mihama, Oi, and Takahama Nuclear Power Plants (Mihama-no-Kai), Friends of the Earth Japan - 14 -

Japan's 2011 - Nuclear Radiation Disaster Why It Is A <u>Crime</u> Against Humanity And Against All Of Nature April 30, 2011

For further inquiries, please contact:

Green Action Suite 103, 22-75 Tanaka Sekiden-cho Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8203 Japan Tel: +81-75-701-7223 info@greenaction-japan.org

Friends of the Earth Japan 3-30-8-1F Ikebukuro Toshima-ku Tokyo 171-0014, Japan TEL: +81-3-6907-7217 finance@foejapan.org

.....

Website address <u>below</u> is ... <u>http://fukushima.greenaction-japan.org/2011/04/23/save-the-fukushima-children-japanese-citizens-meet-with-government/</u>.

FUKUSHIMA UPDATE TRACKING JAPAN'S NUCLEAR CRISIS



Save the Fukushima Children: Japanese Citizens Meet with Government

23 April 2011
Photos of the <u>21 April meeting</u>
Officials of the Nuclear Safety Commission <u>and</u> MEXT
Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT)

Continues

Photos of the <u>21 April meeting</u> Officials of the Nuclear Safety Commission <u>and</u> MEXT Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT)



Below are questions submitted by Japanese citizens after the 21 April meeting with the **Nuclear Safety Commission administration** and **Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science & Technology (MEXT)** concerning the Japanese government raising radiation permissible exposure standards for children <u>20 -fold</u> from 1mSv a year to 20mSv a year. (12:30 ~ 13:45, House of Councillors Diet Office Building.)

There will be another meeting with the government after receiving answers to the following questions.

Some of the answers came in today, 22 April, but the content was insufficient.

It was confirmed today by the Mizuho Fukushima (House of Councillors parliamentarian, head of the Social Democratic Party) Diet office that the Nuclear Safety Commission <u>has no minutes</u> recording the deliberation leading to the decision of the "20mSv" standard. (Why **??** What do they have to hide **?? ?? ??**)

16 QUESTIONS:

1. Based on radiation measurements taken at schools by Fukushima Prefecture, what percentage of schools in Fukushima have radiation levels comparable to "radiation-controlled areas" at nuclear power plants **??**

2. No answer was given concerning "radiation-controlled area". Please define "radiation-controlled area."

3. No answer was given to the question about the Labor Standards Act prohibiting those under 18 years of age to work in a radiation-controlled area. Was this taken into account when considering setting the safety standard for schools at 20 mSv **??**

Save the Fukushima Children: Japanese Citizens Meet with Government Continues

16 Questions

4. No answer was given to the question about a [legally recognized] case of a nuclear power plant worker developing leukemia which subsequently lead to 10 mSv +/- average per year being accepted for work-related injury, or, the example of 40 mSv cumulative dose being accepted [for work-related injury]. Were these taken into account when considering setting the safety standard for schools at 20 mSv ??

5. In considering setting the safety standard for schools at 20 mSv, the Nuclear Safety Commission received the request for input around 14:00 on April 19 and gave its response around 16:00 without officially convening a meeting of the Commission. How was the decision made that 20 mSv was acceptable **??** The process should be made public. What did the 5 commissioners examine and confirm, and what opinions did they have **??** Were other materials or experts consulted **??** If there are meeting minutes, we ask that they be submitted.

6. The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) stated [at the 21 April meeting] that it used the ICRP post-emergency public reference level of 1 ~ 20 mSv as a tentative gauge in setting the school safety standard at 20 mSv. At the [21 April] meeting, MEXT responded that the disaster has not yet been resolved, but then why was the post-emergency level applied **??**

7. Why was the highest number from the reference level used ??

(Reference level is 1 ~ 20mSv, and 20mSv was applied.)

8. It was stated that the March 21 statement by ICRP was taken into consideration when deliberating the 20mSv standard, but when [at what point in the decision making process] did the government adopt this statement **??**

9. The radiation levels measured at schools measured by Fukushima Prefecture are lower in some parts than those measured by the Fukushima Conference for Recovery from the Nuclear-Earthquake Disaster. We are requesting that future measurements within the prefecture be made in the presence of and in consultation with the Fukushima Conference for Recovery from the Nuclear-Earthquake Disaster. What is the response to this **??**

10. While it was admitted that there is a difference in impact of radiation between adults & children, we were also told that the standard of **20** mSv was being applied uniformly for both adult and child. Why was special consideration not given to children **??** Please explain the reasoning behind this decision.

Save the Fukushima Children: Japanese Citizens Meet with Government Continues

16 Questions

11. It was explained that the 20 mSv was derived solely based on evaluation of the air dose, but why aren't exposures through inhalation, dust, & food considered ?? The Nuclear Safety Commission administration stated that based on simulation, it was determined that it was not necessary to take internal exposure into consideration. Who undertook the simulation(s), when was it undertaken, and what were the results ??

12. At the meeting today, there was an admission that hot spots exist. The government has only assessed the cumulative dose since March 23rd. However, the monitoring apparently started on March 15th. Should not the cumulative dose from March 15th be made pubic **??** Please explain your response.

13. The Nuclear Safety Commission states that [at each school] a teacher "engaging in activities representative of the students" will be given a dosimeter to carry in his/her pocket to measure radiation. Is this sufficient to measure exposure to children **??** What is the basis for this measure **??**

14. Shouldn't soil from the school grounds be removed to rid contamination ??

15. What is the answer to our request that the Nuclear Safety Commission, The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), and the Nuclear Disaster Response Headquarters come before the citizens of Fukushima Prefecture to explain the situation **??**

16. We ask that answers to the questions above be given by 6pm today and 10am tomorrow and that a meeting with persons of authority be arranged as soon as possible.

[English translation by Green Action and volunteer translators.] - As Fukushima bill looms, nations weigh dilemma: nuclear plants viable only when uninsured

As Fukushima bill looms, nations weigh dilemma: nuclear plants (financially) viable only when uninsured

- one of the industry's key weaknesses - that nuclear power is a viable source for cheap energy only if it goes uninsured.

Website address is ... <u>http://www.washingtonpost.com/business/as-fukushima-bill-looms-nations-weigh-dilemma-nuclear-plants-viable-only-when-uninsured/2011/04/21/AFrwGDHE_story.html</u>

<u>.Press Conference by the Chief Cabinet Secretary</u>→Next article follows

Update: Breaking News - To Day - May 17, 2011 ... (Reported at Press Conference in Japan on May 13, 2011) Japan Now Confirms - Complete Core Nuclear Meltdown In 3 Fukushima Reactors on March 11, within hours after quake ... this confirmation by Japanese officials is missing from official story for over 2 months - cover-up now confirmed ... MOX Fuel Reactors 1 and 3 also leaking radiation into the ocean since meltdown. ... http://theintelhub.com/2011/05/17/japan-confirms-complete-core-nuclear-meltdown-in-3-fukushima-reactors/

Website address below ... http://www.sltrib.com/sltrib/opinion/51585989-82/nuclear-radiation-scientists-bullets.html.csp



(why) - There is <u>no safe</u> exposure to radiation (at any level)

By BRIAN MUNCH

First published **Apr 09 2011**, 01:OAK <u>Updated</u> **Apr 23, 2011**, 01:31AM

Radiation from Japan is now detectable in the atmosphere, rain water and food chain in North America.

Fukushima reactors are still out of control and hold 10 times more nuclear fuel than there was at Chernobyl, thousands of times more than the bomb dropped on Hiroshima. The official refrain is, "No worries here, perfectly harmless." Our best scientists of the previous century would be rolling over in their graves.

<u>In the 1940s</u> many of the world's premier nuclear scientists saw mounting evidence that there was <u>no safe level</u> of exposure to nuclear radiation. This led Robert Oppenheimer, father of the atom bomb, to oppose development of the hydrogen bomb.

<u>In the 1950s</u>, Lines Paling, the only two-time winner of the Nobel Prize, **began warning the public about exposure** <u>to</u> <u>all</u> **radiation**. This opinion, ultimately endorsed by thousands of scientists worldwide, led President John IF. Kennedy to sign the nuclear test ban treaty.

<u>In the 1960s</u>, Drs. John Gorman, Arthur Trampling, Alice Stewart, Thomas Mancuso and Karl Morgan, all researchers for the Atomic Energy Commission or the Department of Energy, independently came to the <u>conclusion</u> that **exposure to nuclear radiation was not safe** <u>at any level</u>.

The government terminated their services for coming up with what Dr. Gorman called the "<u>wrong answer</u>," that is, the opposite of what the AEC wanted to hear. The top Russian nuclear physicist in the 1960s, Andrei Sahara, also a Nobel Prize winner, and Vladimir Chernousenko, who the Soviet Union placed in charge of the Chernobyl cleanup, are among other international experts who drew similar conclusions.

To distract from the danger of man-made radioactivity, we hear from nuclear cheerleaders that watching TV and airline travel also expose us to radiation. True, although they never mention that flight crews have higher rates of breast and skin cancer. Equating those very different types of radiation is like equating the damage of being hit with ping pong balls (photons) with being hit by bullets (beta particles). Your TV doesn't shoot bullets at you. **Continued**19 - 19-

Japan's 2011 - Nuclear Radiation Disaster Why It Is A <u>Crime</u> Against Humanity And Against All Of Nature April 30, 2011

Continues **There is no safe exposure to radiation**

To distract from the danger of man-made radioactivity, we hear from nuclear cheerleaders that watching TV and airline travel also expose us to radiation. True, although they never mention that flight crews have higher rates of breast and skin cancer. Equating those very different types of radiation is like equating the damage of being hit with ping pong balls (photons) with being hit by bullets (beta particles). Your TV doesn't shoot bullets at you.

Even if your TV only shot a few bullets per show, you probably wouldn't watch much TV. Furthermore, the damage done by these radioactive "bullets" can vary tremendously depending on which organs are hit. To carry the analogy one step further, spraying a few bullets into a large crowd can hardly be considered harmless even if the ratio of bullets per person is very low.

Bioaccumulation increases the concentration of many contaminants as one moves up the food chain. Beef is much higher in dioxins than cattle feed and tuna fish have much higher mercury than their marine environment. Radioactive iodine, cesium, and strontium, all beta emitters, become concentrated in the food chain because of bioaccumulation. At the top of the food chain, of course, are humans, including fetuses, and human breast milk.

Story continues below

Japan PM's support drops as crisis deepens Published Apr 30, 2011 04:45:OPT **~1** Comments 0 <u>Chernobyl's 25th anniversary marked in solemn ceremonies</u> Published Apr 26, 2011 07:33:OPT =0 Comments

Chernobyl anniversary: Russia calls for stricter nuclear safety rules Published Apr 26, 2011 11:29:57AM **~**5 Comments

In 1963, one week after an atmospheric nuclear bomb test in Russia, our scientists observed the magnifying power of **bioaccumulation** when they detected radioactive iodine in the thyroids of mammals in North America even though they could not detect smaller amounts in the air or on vegetation.

Bioaccumulation is one reason why it is dishonest to equate the danger to humans living 5,000 miles away from Japan with the minute concentrations measured in our air. If we tried, we would now likely be able to measure radioactive iodine, cesium, and strontium **bio=accumulating** in human embryos in this country. **Pregnant women**, are you OK with that **??**.

Hermann Mueller, another Nobel Prize winner, is one of many scientists who would not have been OK with that. <u>In a</u> <u>1964</u> study, "Radiation and Heredity", Mueller spelled out the <u>genetic damage</u> of ionizing radiation on humans. He predicted the gradual reduction of the survival of the human species as exposure to radioactivity steadily increased. Indeed, sperm counts, sperm viability and fertility rates worldwide have been dropping for decades.

These scientists and their warnings <u>have never been disproven</u>, but they are currently <u>widely ignored</u>. Their message is very clear: Virtually every human on Earth carries the nuclear legacy, a genetic footprint contaminated by the Cold War, Three Mile Island, Chernobyl, the 400-plus nuclear power plants that have not melted down and now Fukushima.

Albert Einstein said, "The splitting of the atom changed everything, save man's mode of thinking; thus we drift towards unparalleled catastrophe."

Brian Moench is president of Utah Physicians for a Healthy Environment & a member of Union of Concerned Scientists.